

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/562,542	12/28/2005	Guofu Zhou	NL030783	6072	
	7590 07/12/201 ILLECTUAL PROPER	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001			PIZIALI, JEFFREY J		
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2629		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/12/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/562,542	ZHOU ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jeff Piziali	2629		

	OCH I IZIGII	2023	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 06 July 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>\( \)\[ \]\[ \]\ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affida eal (with appeal fee) in compliand FR 1.114. The reply must be file	vit, or other evidence, v e with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mail	ng date of the final rejection	on.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(		IE FINOT NEFET WAS FI	LED WITHIN 14VC
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended of the sunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 tension and the corresponding amous thortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing of	t of the fee. The appropri- ginally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must b	e filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t</li> </ol>	out prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered be	rause
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further cor			·oauoo
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		, ,	
(c)   ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially i	educing or simplifying t	he issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>		ompliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)   how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> </ol>		vill be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-5 and 7.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 6.			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ( 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Jeff Piziali/		
	Primary Examiner, Art	Unit 2629	
	7 July 2010	J.II. 2020	

Continuation of 3 NOTE:

The Applicant is thanked for the After-Final Amendment (filed on 6 July 2010).

However, if entered, the Applicant's proposed claim amendments would add at least the subject matter:

"a product of said picture value and picture duration representing a picture energy for enabling the particles to occupy one of the positions for displaying a picture... a product of said inter-picture value and inter-picture value duration representing an inter-picture energy which is insufficient to change the positions of the particles to cause an optical state change, wherein the one or more inter-picture potential differences is inmediately after a first picture potential difference of the two consecutive picture potential course... the drive means being further arranged to select the polarity of said one or more inter-picture potential differences such that a magnitude of said running total for a corresponding one of said picture elements is minimized to dain inside the said particles.

"said time interval is 0.5 seconds" to claim 3; and

"the value of said inter-picture potential differences is a maximum voltage available on the drive means" to claim 5.

The proposed claim amendments, if incorporated into present claim language, would substantially alter inventive scope of the claims, requiring additional search and consideration.

Due to the proposed amendments not being entered, Applicant's arguments are not commensurate in scope with the current claims.

By such reasoning, non-entry of the proposed Amendment (filed on 6 July 2010) is deemed proper and necessary at this time.

/Jeff Piziali/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2629 7 July 2010